

INTERNAL PROCEDURE

Ref: VOT	Title	VOTING POLICY	Last Update:	November 2016	Revision #:	4.0
			Creation Date:	February 2013		

GLOSSARY / REFERENCES

- Law of 17 December 2010 (being updated) relating to undertakings for collective investment (the “**UCI-Law**”)
- CSSF Regulation N° 10-04 transposing Commission Directive 2010/43/EU of 1 July 2010 implementing Directive 2009/65/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards organisational requirements, conflicts of interest, conduct of business, risk management and content of the agreement between a depositary and a management company (the “**Regulation UCITS**”)
- The law of 13 February 2007 relating to specialized investment funds, as amended from time to time (the “**SIF Law**”)
- CSSF Regulation N° 15-07 laying down detailed rules for the application of Article 42bis of the law of the SIF Law concerning the requirements regarding risk management and conflicts of interest (the “**Regulation SIF**”)
- Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 231/2013 of 19 December 2012 supplementing Directive 2011/61/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to exemptions, general operating conditions, depositaries, leverage, transparency and supervision (the “**AIFM CDR**”)
- CSSF Circular 12/546 as amended by Circular CSSF 15/633 related to the authorisation and organisation of Luxembourg management companies subject to Chapter 15 of the Law of 17 December 2010 relating to undertakings for collective investment (the “**CSSF Circular**”)

PROCEDURE

A. Scope of the Procedure

As laid out by Art. 37 of the AIFM CDR, Allegro is obliged to develop adequate and effective strategies for determining when and how any voting rights held in the Fund portfolios that it manages are to be exercised, to the exclusive benefit of the concerned Fund and its investors. Such strategy shall determine measures and procedures for:

- a) Monitoring relevant corporate actions
- b) Ensuring that the exercise of voting rights is in accordance with the investment objectives and policy of the relevant Fund; and
- c) Preventing or managing any conflicts of interest arising from the exercise of voting rights.

Finally, a summary description of the strategies and details of the actions taken on the basis of those strategies shall be made available to the investors on their request. As mentioned by the CSSF Circular, for UCITS funds, such brief description must be made available to investors free of charge, in particular by way of a website.

The above obligations are substantially matched by the Regulation UCITS and the Regulation SIF.

The present procedure is to be read in conjunction with the procedure “Conflicts of interest”.

INTERNAL PROCEDURE

Ref: VOT	Title	VOTING POLICY	Last Update:	November 2016	Revision #:	4.0
			Creation Date:	February 2013		

B. Voting Policy

1. As a general policy, the Board of Managers of Allegro (the “**Board**”) is supportive of the management of the companies in which the Funds invest. However, in case companies consistently fail to achieve the reasonable expectations, the Board will actively prompt changes, in collaboration with the investment advisor (if any) and/or the investment committee of the concerned Fund. Such changes might range from the formulation of a new strategy to the appointment of new management or non-executive directors.
2. An active and informed voting policy is an integral part of Allegro’s role as independent management company and/of AIFM. By exercising votes on behalf of the Funds, the Board seeks both to add value and to protect the interests of the Funds’ investors. The Board considers the issues, communicates with the management and/or the investment advisor (if any/if necessary) and votes accordingly. In general, the Board would always seek to discuss any contentious resolutions before casting its votes in order to ensure that its objectives are understood and its votes will be cast in the best interest of the Funds’ investors.
3. This procedure and its appendices are reviewed and updated on a regular basis, at least annually, and the CSSF will be informed of any material changes.
4. This procedure is published in its current form on Allegro’s website (www.allegrofund.com).

C. Particular voting items

As a general principle, the Board will consult with the Funds’ investment committees or other form of shareholder/unitholder/limited partner representatives, prior to casting a particular vote. The following general guidance has been established for recurring voting items regarding the Funds’ investments:

1. Take-over bids for listed companies:

Valuation by the stock market is an important benchmark for monitoring board performance. For a listed company, a take-over bid or merger can be a necessary and important aspect in maintaining and enhancing shareholder value. The Board generally support incumbent management in good standing. However, it reserves the right to support hostile bids when the management have either consistently failed to respond to the reasonable expectations of shareholders or where, in its judgment, the level of a bid fully recognizes the future prospects of the Fund.

2. Compensation plans:

It is clearly in the interest of investors that target company boards should have the ability to attract and retain the highest quality of personnel. Remuneration levels in different companies and geographic sectors will be a market based judgment, taking into account business size, complexity, relative performance and – where applicable – advice provided by local investment advisors for the Funds.

3. Capital raising:

Capital used by investee companies is derived from equity, debt and other creditors. The rights of the lenders and other creditors are precisely defined by law. This contrasts with the economic interests of

INTERNAL PROCEDURE

Ref: VOT	Title	VOTING POLICY	Last Update:	November 2016	Revision #:	4.0
			Creation Date:	February 2013		

investors providing the equity capital. The Board is aware that shareholder wealth may be often lost if companies raise capital for potential value-creating opportunities from new investors rather than raising capital on comparable terms from existing investors. Together with the investment advisor (if any) and/or the investment committees of the Funds, the Board will therefore evaluate on a case-by-case basis whether the use or non-use of its pre-emption right is in the best interest of the existing owners/investors.

D. Conflicts of interest related to the exercise of voting rights

With regard to potential conflicts of interest related to the exercise of voting rights, we refer to our separate policy “Conflicts of interest”, which covers inter alia conflicts of interest involving Allegro and the Funds; conflicts involving different Funds; and conflicts involving Allegro’s employees.

Due to the fact that Allegro is a fully independent and privately held entity, it is difficult to conceive that situations could occur in which Funds hold financial instruments issued by Allegro or its subsidiaries, its shareholders or companies with which Allegro may maintain a strategic relationship and thus create a specific conflict related to the exercise of such voting rights.

Nevertheless, should the instance arise, in which the exercise of voting rights on behalf of the Funds would lead to the appearance of a conflict of interest, the Board will as a principle not exercise such voting rights without full disclosure to the Funds’ relevant investment committee.